20 Analysis of Variance

Suppose we have a field trial in which various types of treatments have been tried on different subjects and the effects recorded as an observation x for each individual. There are n_i individuals with treatment i and the observations from them are $x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,n_i}$. We have k such sets of observatons of sizes n_1, \ldots, n_k respectively, for a total of $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$ observations. The model assumes that each x_{i,n_i} is normally distributed with mean μ_i due to the effect of the *i*-th treatment and they all have a common variance σ^2 . The null hypothesis is that there is no difference between the treatments or equivalently $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$. The loglikelihood under the null hypothesis is

$$\log L_0 = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - N \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{i,j} - \mu)^2$$

Maximization with respect to σ and μ yields

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{i,j}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu})^2$$
$$\log \hat{L}_0 = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - N \log \hat{\sigma}_0 - \frac{N}{2}$$

Similarly under H_1 ,

$$\log L_{1} = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - N \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (x_{i,j} - \mu_{i})^{2}$$
$$\hat{\mu}_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{2}$$
$$\log \hat{L}_{1} = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - N \log \hat{\sigma}_{1} - \frac{N}{2}$$

The loglikelihood ratio criterion takes the form

$$-2\log\frac{L_0}{L_1} = N\log\frac{\hat{\sigma}_0^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}$$

so that a test can be based on

$$U=\frac{\hat{\sigma}_0^2-\hat{\sigma}_1^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}$$

A computation yields

$$N\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu})^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j}^{2} - 2\hat{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j} + N\hat{\mu}^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j}^{2} - N\hat{\mu}^{2}$$

On the other hand

$$N\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu})^{2}$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\hat{\mu}_{i} - \hat{\mu}) \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} (\hat{\mu}_{i} - \hat{\mu})^{2}$
= $N\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} (\hat{\mu}_{i} - \hat{\mu})^{2}$
= $N\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \hat{\mu}_{i}^{2} - N\hat{\mu}^{2}$

The following quantities are to be computed.

$$T_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j}$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{i}$$

$$c = \frac{T^{2}}{N}$$

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j}^{2}$$

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}$$

Then

$$A = c + (B - c) + (A - B)$$
$$N\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = A - c$$

and

$$N\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = (A - B)$$

so that

$$U = \frac{B - c}{A - B}$$

It can be seen that under the null hypothesis (B - c) and (A - B) are independent $\sigma^2 \chi^2$ with (k - 1) and (N - k) degrees of freedom and

$$F = U\frac{N-k}{k-1} = \frac{\frac{B-c}{k-1}}{\frac{A-B}{N-k}}$$

is an $F_{k-1,N-k}$. A large values of F leads to the rejection of H_0 that $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_k$.

Some times the population on which the treatments are tried is not uniform. For example each treatment *i* could be tried on the *j*-th group once leading to an observation $x_{i,j}$. The number of treatments is *k* and the number of groups is *n*, each group consisting of *k* observations. The total number of observations is N = kn. The model is that the observation $x_{i,j}$ is normally distributed with mean $\mu_i + a_j$ and variance σ^2 . Actually there is a redundancy of parameters here, and it is better to write the mean as $\mu + \mu_i + a_j$ with $\sum_i \mu_i = \sum_j a_j = 0$ with a total of n + k - 1 parameters. We are interested in testing the null hypothesis $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_k = 0$ and we really do not care about a_1, \ldots, a_n . With similar calculations we obtain

$$\hat{\mu}_{i} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} x_{i,j}$$
$$\hat{\mu}_{i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j} x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}$$
$$\hat{a}_{j} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i} x_{i,j} - \hat{\mu}$$
$$\sum_{i,j} x_{i,j}^{2} = N\sigma_{1}^{2} + (k \sum_{i} \hat{\mu}_{i}^{2} - N\hat{\mu}^{2}) + (n \sum_{j} \hat{a}_{j}^{2} - N\hat{\mu}^{2}) + N\hat{\mu}^{2}$$

If we define as before

$$T_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i,j}$$

$$S_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i,j}$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}$$

$$c = \frac{T^{2}}{N}$$

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} x_{i,j}^{2}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{i}^{2}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}^{2}$$

We see that

$$A = c + (B - c) + (C - c) + E = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4$$

where $E = N\sigma_1^2$ and $N\sigma_0^2 - N\sigma_1^2 = B - c$. The ratio

$$F = \frac{\frac{B-c}{k-1}}{\frac{E}{(n-1)(k-1)}}$$

is an $F_{k-1,(n-1)(k-1)}$. The proof that the various components of the sum of squares are independent χ^2 s depends on two observations. First each term is of the form

$$Q_r = \|\tilde{x}\|^2 - \inf_{y \in X_r} \|\tilde{x} - y\|^2$$

where \tilde{x} is the N = nk dimensional vector $\{x_{i,j}\}$ and $\{X_r : r = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are orthogonal subspaces of \mathbb{R}^N . If we show that X_1, X_2, X_3 are mutually orthogonal then clearly X_4 is the orthogonal complement of $X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3$. It is easily verified that

$$X_{1} = \{\tilde{x} : x_{i,j} \equiv a \text{ for all } i, j\}$$

$$X_{2} = \{\tilde{x} : x_{i,j} \equiv a_{i} \text{ for all } i, j \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} = 0\}$$

$$X_{3} = \{\tilde{x} : x_{i,j} \equiv a_{j} \text{ for all } i, j \text{ with } \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} = 0\}$$

and that they are mutually orthogonal.

21 General Linear Models

General linear model is of the following form. There are unknown parameters $\sigma^2, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k$ and observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . The x_i are assumed to be independent and normally distributed with mean

$$E[x_i] = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i,j} \theta_j$$

and variance σ^2 . The factors $\{a_{i,j}\}$ are assumed to be known constants. The matrix A is assumed to be of rank k (otherwise we can reduce the number

of real parameters). The null hypothesis is that $\theta \in \Theta_0$, a linear subspace (hyperplane) of \mathbb{R}^k of dmension r < k, specified by k - r linear relations. The analysis depends on the two quantities

$$Q_1 = \inf_{\theta \in R^k} ||x - A\theta||^2$$
$$Q_0 = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta_0} ||x - A\theta||^2$$

The ratio

$$F = \frac{\frac{Q_0 - Q_1}{k - r}}{\frac{Q_1}{n - k}}$$

is an $F_{k-r,n-k}$. The actual minimization involves inverting the matrix A^*A for the computation of Q_1 and a similar one for the computation of Q_0 . In the examples we discussed this is particularly easy.

Rather than discuss the general theory we will do some examples.