## Answers to Assignment 10.

**Problem 1.** d(x, A) = 0 if and only if there exists  $y_n \in A$  such that  $d(x, y_n) \to 0$ , i.e., if and only if  $x \in \overline{A}$  or if A is closed if and only if  $x \in A$ .

**Problem 2.** If  $A \,\subset X$  and  $x_n$  is Cauchy in A, it is Cauchy in X and if X is complete converges to  $x \in X$ . But if A is closed then  $x \in A$  and  $x_n \to x$  in A, making A complete. On the other hand if A is not closed there is a sequence  $x_n \in A$  sub that  $x_n \to x \notin A$ . Such a sequence will be Cauchy in A but will not have a limit in A. So A can not be complete. On the other hand if we change the metric from d to D if  $x_n \in G$  and  $x_n \to x \in G$ , then  $d(x_n, G^c)$  remains bounded away from 0 and  $d(x_n, G^c) \to d(x, G^c)$ . Hence  $D(x_n, x) \to 0$ . If  $D(x_n.x) \to 0$  then clearly  $d(x_n, x) \to 0$ . The two metrics are therefore equivalent on G. To see that (G, D) is complete if  $D(x_n, x_m) \to 0$ , then  $d(x_n, x_m) \to 0$  and  $\frac{1}{d(x_n, G^c)}$  which is also a Cauchy sequence is bounded away from 0. Thus  $x_n \to x \in X$  and  $d(x, G^c) > 0$ making  $x \in G$ . This proves (G, D) is complete. If  $A = \cap G_n$  we define

$$D(x,y) = d(x,y) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{d(x,G_j^c)} - \frac{1}{d(y,G_j^c)}\right|}{1 + \left|\frac{1}{d(x,G_j^c)} - \frac{1}{d(y,G_j^c)}\right|}$$

It is easy to check that on A D and d are equivalent, because if  $x_n \to x$  and  $x_n, x \in G_j$  for every j,

$$\left|\frac{1}{d(x_n, G_j^c)} - \frac{1}{d(x, G_j^c)}\right| \to 0$$

for every j, and it follows then that  $D(x_n, x) \to 0$ . If  $D(x_n, x_m) \to 0$ , just as before we conclude that  $d(x_n, x) \to 0$  and  $d(x, G_j^c) > 0$  for every j, which implies that  $x \in \cap G_j = A$ .