4 Riesz Kernels.

A natural generalization of the Hilbert transform to higher dimension is mutiplication of the Fourier Transform by homogeneous functions of degree 0, the simplest ones being

$$\widehat{R_i f}(\xi) = \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi) \tag{4.1}$$

Since the functions $k_i(\xi) = \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|}$ are bounded functions it is clear that R_i are bounded operators from $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. On the other hand k_i are not continuous at $\xi = 0$, and therefore the formal kernel K_i with the representation

$$R_i f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_i(x-y) f(y) dy$$
(4.2)

can not be in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 1. The kernels $K_i(\cdot)$ are given by

$$K_i(x) = c_d \frac{x_i}{|x|^{d+1}}$$
(4.3)

where c_d is a constant depending on the dimension.

Proof. We will begin with the following calculation. For any $\epsilon > 0, d > \delta > 0$

$$\int_{R^{d}} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-\delta}} e^{-\epsilon|x|^{2}} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{d-\delta}{2})} \int_{R^{d}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} t^{\frac{d-\delta}{2}-1} e^{-(t+\epsilon)|x|^{2}} dx dt$$
$$= \frac{c_{d}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-\delta}{2})} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{4(t+\epsilon)}} t^{\frac{d-\delta}{2}-1} (t+\epsilon)^{-\frac{d}{2}} dt \qquad (4.4)$$

If we let $\epsilon \to 0$ in equation (??)

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{R^d} e^{i \langle x, \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-\delta}} e^{-\epsilon |x|^2} dx = \frac{c_d}{\Gamma(\frac{d-\delta}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4t}} t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}-1} dt = \frac{c_d \Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-\delta}{2})} |\xi|^{-\delta}$$

If we let $f_{\epsilon,\delta}(x) = \frac{(d-\delta)x_j}{|x|^{d+2-\delta}} e^{-\epsilon|x|^2}$, $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \widehat{f}_{\epsilon,\delta}(x) = ic_d \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-\delta}{2})} \xi_j |\xi|^{-\delta}$. Finally we let $\delta > 1 \to 1$.

It is not difficult to see that for any smooth function f(x) with compact support

$$(R_j f)(x) = \int_{|y| \le \ell} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{d+1}} [f(x+y) - f(x)] dy + \int_{|y| \ge \ell} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{d+1}} f(x+y) dy$$

is independent of ℓ because $\int_{S} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{d+1}} dy = 0$ for any shell $S = \{\ell_1 \leq |y| \leq \ell_2\}$. It is a smooth function of x. For large x, the first term is 0, and the second integral can be estimated by,

$$\int_{R^d} \left| \left[\frac{x_j - y_j}{|y - x|^{d+1}} - \frac{x_j}{|x|^{d+1}} \right] f(y) \right| dy \le \frac{C}{|x|^{d+1}}$$

if we use that f has compact support and satisfies $\int_{R^d} f(y) dy = 0$. It is now easy to compute

$$\widehat{R_j f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{c_d} \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

The next step is to show that the kernels K_i satisfy condition of equation (??). Let us take $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and write $y = r\omega$ where r = |y| and $\omega = \frac{y}{|y|} \in S^{d-1}$.

$$\begin{split} \int_{|x-y| \ge C|y|} |\frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|^{d+1}} - \frac{x_i}{|x|^{d+1}} |dx &= \int_{|x-y| \ge C|y|} |\frac{\sigma(x-y)}{|x-y|^d} - \frac{\sigma(x)}{|x|^d} |dx \\ &\le \int_{|x-r\omega| \ge Cr|} |\frac{\sigma(x-r\omega)}{|x-r\omega|^d} - \frac{\sigma(x-r\omega)}{|x|^d} |dx \\ &+ \int_{|x-r\omega| \ge Cr} \frac{|\sigma(x-r\omega) - \sigma(x)|}{|x|^d} dx \\ &\le C_1 \int_{|x-r\omega| \ge Cr} |\frac{1}{|x-r\omega|^d} - \frac{1}{|x|^d} |dx \\ &+ \int_{|x-r\omega| \ge Cr} \frac{|\sigma(x-r\omega) - \sigma(x)|}{|x|^d} dx \end{split}$$

where $\sigma(x) = \frac{x_i}{|x|}$. If we make the substitution x = rx' we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{|x-y|\ge C|y|} |\frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|^{d+1}} - \frac{x_i}{|x|^{d+1}} |dx \le C_1 \int_{|x'-\omega|\ge C} |\frac{1}{|x'-\omega|^d} - \frac{1}{|x'|^d} |dx' \\ + \int_{|x'-\omega|\ge C} \frac{|\sigma(x'-\omega) - \sigma(x')|}{|x'|^d} dx' \end{split}$$

The estimate is clearly uniform in r. If C is large enough 0 and ω are excluded from the domain of integration. For large x we get an extra cancellation in both the integrals to make them converge with a bound that is uniform in ω . For the second integral we need only that σ satisfies a Hölder condition on S^{d-1} .

We have therefore proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. If the kernel K(x) is given by

$$K(x) = \frac{\sigma(\frac{x}{|x|})}{|x|^d} \tag{4.5}$$

and $\sigma(\cdot)$ satisfies a Hölder condition on S^{d-1} and has mean 0 on S^{d-1} , then convolution by K defines a bounded operator from $L_p(R^d)$ into $L_p(R^d)$ for all p in the range 1 . In particular the Riesz transforms 4.1 given by $4.2 with kernels 4.3 are bounded operators in every <math>L_p$ in the same range.

5 Sobolev Spaces.

In dealing with differential equations we often come across solutions that do not have the smoothness necessary to be a solution in the ordinary sense. To illustrate it by an example, suppose we want to solve the equation

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i} u_{x_i x_i} = f \tag{5.1}$$

on \mathbb{R}^d . If d = 1 the equation reduces to $u_{xx} = f$ which is easy to solve. We need only to integrate f twice, and if f has d continuous derivatives u will have d+2 continuous derivatives. On \mathbb{R}^d it is conceivable that each $u_{x_ix_i}$ may be singular, but somehow the singularities cancel miraculously to produce a much nicer f. Working formally with Fourier transforms

$$-|\xi|^2 \widehat{u}(\xi) = f(\xi)$$

and

$$\widehat{u}_{x_i x_j}(\xi) = -\frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

In other words

$$u_{x_i x_j} = -R_i R_j f$$

It says that for $1 , if <math>f \in L_p$ we can expect u to have two derivatives in L_p , but if f is bounded and continuous one should not expect u to have two continuous derivatives. In fact on d = 2, one can construct a counter example, i.e. a function f which is continuous such that the soulution u of Poisson's equation exhibits a singularity of the individual second derivatives at 0, that of course cancel to produce a continuous f.

The Sobolev spaces $W_k^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are defined as the space of functions u on \mathbb{R}^d such that u and all its partial derivatives $D_{x_1}^{n_1} \cdots D_{x_d}^{n_d} u$ of order $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_d$ are in L_p . We could start with \mathbb{C}^∞ functions with compact support on \mathbb{R}^d and complete it in the norm

$$||u||_{k,p} = \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_d\\n=n_1+\dots+n_d \le k}} ||D_{x_1}^{n_1}\cdots D_{x_d}^{n_d}u||_p$$
(5.2)

If $u \in L_p$ and $D_i u = D_{x_i} u \in L_p u$ should be more regular than an L_p function.

Let us consider the operator

$$\widehat{Au}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,\widehat{u}(\xi)$$

and consider its representation by the kernel

$$(Au)(x) = \int_{R^d} u(x+y)a(y)dy$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= c_d \int_{R_d} \frac{e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dx = \frac{c_d}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{R^d} \int_0^\infty e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} e^{-t(1+|\xi|^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt \\ &= k_d \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} dt = \frac{k_d}{|y|^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t|x|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4t}} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

decays very rapidly at ∞ , is smooth for $x \neq 0$ and has a singularity of $|x|^{1-d}$ near the origin for $d \geq 2$ and a logarithmic singularity at 0 when d = 1. In particular $a(\cdot) \in L_q$ for $q < \frac{d}{d-1}$. By Hölder's inequality, A will map L_p into L_{∞} for p > d. If d = p > 1 the result is false. Let us take d = 2and a nonnegative function f with compact support such that $f \in L_2$ but $\int_{R^d} \frac{f(x)}{|x|} dx = \infty$. We saw that Af has a singularity at 0. Let us consider $u = D_1(Af)$. Clearly

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} = \|\hat{u}\|_{2}^{2} = \int_{R^{2}} \frac{\xi_{1}^{2}}{1 + |\xi|^{2}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \le \|\hat{f}\|_{2}^{2} = \|f\|_{2}^{2}$$

By Young's inequality any $K \in L_q$ maps $L_p \to L_{p'}$ provided $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} = 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. Therefore $f \in W_{1,p}$ implies $f \in L_{p'}$ so long as $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} < \frac{1}{d}$. By induction $f \in W_{k,p}$ implies that $f \in W_{1,p}$ implies $f \in L_{p'}$ so long as $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} < \frac{k}{d}$. Therefore on R^d , $f \in W_{k,p}$ implies the continuity of f if $k > \frac{d}{p}$.

Actually one can prove a stronger result to the effect that if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{d}$. then $W_{1,p} \subset L_{p'}$ as long as $1 < p' < \infty$. This requires the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let T_a be the operator of convolution by the kernel $|x|^{a-d}$ on \mathbb{R}^d .

$$(T_a f)(x) = \int_{R^d} |y|^{a-d} f(x+y) dy$$
 (5.3)

Then T_a is bounded from L_p to $L_{p'}$ provided $1 and <math>\frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{a}{d}$.

Proof. First, we note that for a > 0, T_a is well defined on bounded functions with compact support. We start by proving a weak type inequility of the form

$$\mu[x: |(T_a f)(x)| \ge \ell] \le C \frac{\|f\|_p^q}{\ell^q}$$

For any choice of $1 let <math>f \in L_p$. We can assume without loss of generality that $f \ge 0$. We write

$$(T_a f)(x) = \int_{|y| \le \rho} |y|^{a-d} f(x+y) dy + \int_{|y| \ge \rho} |y|^{a-d} f(x+y) dy$$

$$\leq u_1 + u_2$$

and estimate u_1, u_2 by

$$||u_1||_p \le C_1 \rho^a ||f||_p$$

$$||u_2||_{\infty} \le \left(\int_{|y|\ge \rho} |y|^{p^*(a-d)} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} ||f||_p = C_2 \rho^{a-d+\frac{d}{p^*}} ||f||_p$$

We can now pick $\rho = \left(\frac{2C_2 \|f\|_p}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{p}{d-ap}}$ and estimate

$$\sup_{x} u_{2}(x) \leq \frac{\ell}{2}$$

$$\mu[x:u_{1}(x) \geq \frac{\ell}{2}] \leq 2^{p} C_{1}^{p} \rho^{a p} \frac{\|f\|_{p}^{p}}{\ell^{p}}$$

$$= C_{3} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p}}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{a p^{2}}{d-a p}+p}$$

$$= C_{3} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p}}{\ell}\right)^{q}$$

where $q = \frac{pd}{d-ap}$ or $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{a}{d}$.

Now, an application of Marcinkiewicz interpolation gives boundedness from L_p to L_q in the same range and with the same relation between p and q.

We can also define the fractional derivative operators

$$(|D|^{a}f)(x) = \int_{R^{d}} \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{|y|^{d+a}} dy$$
(5.4)

for 0 < a < 2. A calculation shows that in terms of Foirier transforms it is multiplication by

$$\int_{R^d} \frac{e^{i < \xi, y > -1}}{|y|^{d+a}} dy = c_{d,a} |\xi|^a$$

Therefore $|D|^a$ and T_a are essentially (upto a constant) inverses of each other. If r > 0 is written as k + a, where k is a nonnegative integer and $0 \le a < 1$, then one defines the norm corresponding to r^{th} derivative by

$$\|u\|_{r,p} = \sum_{\sum_{i} n_{i} \le k} \|D_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{d}^{n_{d}} u\|_{p} + \sum_{\sum_{i} n_{i} = k} \|D_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{d}^{n_{d}} u\|_{a,p}$$
(5.5)

This way the Sobolev spaces $W_{r,p}$ are defined for fractional derivatives as well.

Theorem 5.2. The inclusion map is well defined and bounded from $W_{r,p}$ into $W_{s,q}$ provided s < r, $1 , and <math>\frac{1}{q} \ge \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r-s}{d}$. The extreme value of $q = \infty$ is allowed if $\frac{1}{q} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r-s}{d}$.

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that 0 < r - s < 1. We can go from $W_{r,p}$ to $W_{s,q}$ in a finite number of steps, with 0 < r - s < 1 at each step. We write $\mathcal{I} = c_{d,a}T_a|D|^a$ where a = r - s. By definition $|D|^a$ maps $W_{r,p}$ boundedly into $W_{s,p}$. By the earlier theorem T_a maps $W_{s,p}$ boundedly into $W_{s,q}$. Although we proved it for s = 0, it is true for every s because T_a commutes with $|D|^a$. The cae $q = \infty$ is covered as well by this argument. \Box

6 Generalized Functions.

Let us begin with the space $W_{1,2}$. This is a Hilbert Space with the inner product

$$< f, g >_1 = \int_{R^d} [f\bar{g} + \sum_1^d f_{x_i}\bar{g}_{x_i}] dx = \int_{R^d} f\bar{h}dx$$

where $h = g - \sum_{1}^{d} g_{x_{i}x_{i}}$. Since $g \in W_{1,2}$, $g_{x_{i}} \in L_{2}$ and $g_{x_{i}x_{i}}$ is the derivative of an L_{2} function. In fact since we can write $\int fg_{x_{i}}dx$ as $-\int f_{x_{i}}gdx$, Any derivative of an L_{2} function can be thought of as a bounded linear functional on the space $W_{1,2}$. A similar reasoning applies to all the spaces $W_{r,p}$. The dual space of $W_{r,p}$ is $W_{-r,q}$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For a function to be in L_{p} its singularities as well as decay at ∞ must be

For a function to be in L_p its singularities as well as decay at ∞ must be controlled. We can get rid of the condition at ∞ by demaniding that f be in $L_p(K)$ for every bounded set K or equivalently by insisting that $\phi f \in L_p$ for every C^{∞} function ϕ with compact support. This definition makes sense for $W_{r,p}$ as well. We say that $f \in W_{r,p}^{loc}$ if $\phi f \in W_{r,p}$ for every C^{∞} function ϕ with compact support. One needs to check that on $W_{r,p}$ mutiplication by a smooth function is a bounded linear map. One can use Leibnitz's rule if r is an integer. For 0 < r < 1 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. If $f \in W_{r,p}$ and $\phi \in C^{r'}$ with $r < r' \leq 1$ i.e. ϕ is a bounded function satisfying $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{r'}$, for all x, y, then $\phi f \in W_{r,p}$.

Proof. We need to prove

$$g(x) = \int_{R^d} \frac{\phi(y)f(y) - \phi(x)f(x)}{|y - x|^{d+r}} dy$$

is in L_p . We can write

$$\phi(y)f(y) - \phi(x)f(x) = \phi(x)[f(y) - f(x)] + [\phi(y) - \phi(x)]f(y).$$

The contribution of first term is easy to control. To control the second term it is sufficient to show that

$$\sup_{x} \int_{R^d} \frac{|\phi(y) - \phi(x)|}{|y - x|^{d+r}} dy < \infty$$

which is not hard. We split the integral into two regions $|x - y| \le 1$ and |x - y| > 1, use the Hölder property of ϕ to obtain an estimate on the integral over $|x - y| \le 1$ and the boundedness of ϕ to get an estimate over |x - y| > 1, both of which are uniform in x.