

Ergodic Theorems: Suppose

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x) D_{i,j} + \sum_j b_j(x) D_j$$

is the generator of a process. Assume that $\{a_{i,j}\}$ are continuous and strictly positive definite, i.e. $\{a_{i,j}\}$ is positive definite for each $x \in R^d$. An invariant probability measure is one for which

$$\int p(t, x, A) \mu(dx) = \mu(A)$$

for every Borel set $A \subset R^d$. First μ must be absolutely continuous, i.e. $\mu(dy) = \phi(y)dy$ for some $\phi \in L_1(R^d)$. The proof depends on the fact that $p(t, x, dy)$ in fact has a density $p(t, x, y)dy$ for every $t > 0$, and $x \in R^d$. We would like to prove the following.

1. **Uniqueness.** $\mu(dx) = \phi(x)dx$ is unique. $\phi(x) > 0$ a.e.
2. **Law of Large Numbers.** With probability 1 with respect to any P_x ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds = \int f(y) \phi(y) dy$$

for any bounded measurable function $f(\cdot)$.

3. **Convergence to equilibrium.**

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int |p(t, x, y) - \phi(y)| dy = 0$$

4. **Central Limit Theorems.** If $\int f(y) \phi(y) dy = 0$, under additional assumptions

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds$$

has an asymptotic normal distribution for large t .

5. **Large Deviations from the Ergodic Theorem.** Study the large deviation behavior

$$P_x \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \in A \right]$$

Uniqueness: Uniqueness and ergodicity are related. Let μ be an invariant probability measure for the Markov process. This means that if we start with initial distribution μ the $P_\mu = \int P_x d\mu(x)$ is stationary process for $t \geq 0$ and can be easily extended as a stationary process for $-\infty < t < \infty$. If P_μ is not ergodic with respect to translations, then there exists a translation invariant set E on the space $C[(-\infty, \infty); R^d]$ of trajectories such that $0 < P_\mu(A) < 1$. We will show now that E can be chosen to be of the form $x(0) \in A$ for some Borel set. Note that any measurable set E can be approximated by sets measurable with

respect to the σ -field $\sigma\{x(t) : |t| \leq T\}$ and if it is translation invariant can be approximated by a set from $\sigma\{x(t) : T \leq t \leq 2T\}$ as well as from $\sigma\{x(t) : -2T \leq t \leq -T\}$. In particular it is in the past $\sigma\{x(t) : t \leq -T\}$ as well as the future $\sigma\{x(t) : t \geq T\}$. But for a Markov process given the present $x(0)$ the past and the future are independent. This means given $x(0)$ the set E is independent of itself. Therefore $P[E|x(0)] = 0$ or 1 . Now E can be identified with the set $\{x(\cdot) : P[E|x(0)] = 1\}$. If there are two invariant measures μ_1, μ_2 , then clearly P_μ with $\mu = \frac{1}{2}[\mu_1 + \mu_2]$ can not be ergodic.

In particular if the invariant measure μ is not unique we can find a partition of $R^d = A \cup A^c$ such that the restrictions of μ to A and A^c are both invariant. This means for every $t > 0$, $p(t, x, A) = 1$ for a.e. $x \in A$ and $p(t, x, A^c) = 1$ for a.e. $x \in A^c$.

We note that, from PDE, we can obtain that $p(t, x, A)$ is a jointly continuous function of t, x for $t > 0$. In particular $y : p(t, y, A) > \frac{1}{2}$ is an open set U , and for almost all $x \in A^c$

$$p(2t, x, A) = \int p(t, x, dy)p(t, y, A) \geq \frac{1}{2}p(t, x, U) = 0$$

Therefore to prove uniqueness it suffices to show that given $x \in R^d$ and any open ball B , $p(t, x, B) > 0$ for some $t > 0, x \in R^d$ any ball B . Suppose $P_x[x(t) \in B] = 0$ for all t . By Girsanov's theorem the same is true if we change the drift, and also if we rescale time. Therefore

$$Q_x^\epsilon[x(t) \in B] = 0$$

where Q_x^ϵ corresponds to

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x) D_{i,j} + \sum_j [\epsilon b_j(x) + c_j(x)] D_j$$

with $\{c_j(x)\}$ having compact support. If we let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the same is true for the limit. But the limit is just an O.D.E and we can pick our coefficients!. This can not be true.

This proves the uniqueness of μ and the ergodicity of P_μ . It is not hard to see that from

$$\mu(A) = \int p(t, x, A) d\mu(x)$$

and the existence of a density $p(t, x, y)$ we can conclude that μ in fact has a density $\pi(x)$. The positivity of $\phi(x)$ again come from PDE. If $\int_A \phi(x) dx = 0$ for a set with positive Lebesgue measure, then $p(t, x, A)$ would be 0 for all x and $t > 0$, and the PDE estimate shows the convergence of $p(t, x, A) \rightarrow \chi_A(x)$ in L_p as $t \rightarrow 0$. Another way of verifying uniqueness is by a direct argument. Let $\pi(x, y) = p(1, x, y)$. If μ is invariant then, it is invariant for $\pi(x, y)$ as well. Hence

$$\int \pi(x, y) dy \mu(dx) = \mu(dy)$$

This implies that $\mu(dx) = \phi(x) dx$ and

$$\int \pi(x, y) \phi(x) dx = \phi(y)$$

If there are two solutions let us denote their difference by $\psi(x)$. Then $\int \psi(x)dx = 0$,

$$\int \psi(x)\pi(x, y)dx = \psi(y)$$

and

$$\int |\psi(y)dy| = \int \left| \int \psi(x)\pi(x, y)dx \right| dy \leq \int \int |\psi(x)|\pi(x, y)dx dy = \int |\psi(x)|dx$$

In particular for almost all y ,

$$\left| \int \psi(x)\pi(x, y)dx \right| = \int |\psi(x)|\pi(x, y)dx$$

This can not happen unless $\psi(x)$ is of one sign. But it has integral equal to 0. Hence $\psi = 0$.

Ergodic Theorem. Because of ergodicity we know that with probability 1 with respect to any P_μ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s))ds = \int f(y)\phi(y)dy$$

for any bounded measurable function $f(\cdot)$. On the other hand from the positivity of $p(t, x, y)$, for $t > 0$, $p(t, x, y)$ and μ are mutually absolutely continuous. By the Markov property if we ignore a small initial segment of time then the almost sure convergence w.r.t. P_μ gives a.e. convergence w.r.t P_x for any x .

Convergence to equilibrium. This is not much different from uniqueness. Let us denote by

$$\delta(t, x) = \int |p(t, x, y) - \phi(y)|dy$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(t + s, x) &= \int \left| \int [p(t, x, z') - \phi(z')]p(s, z', z)dz' \right| dz \\ &\leq \int |p(t, x, z') - \phi(z')|p(s, z', z)dz dz' \\ &= \delta(t, x, y) \end{aligned}$$

proving $\delta(t, x)$ is \downarrow in t for fixed x . It is therefore sufficient to prove that $\delta(n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have a Markov Chain with almost surely positive transition density $\pi(x, y) = p(1, x, y)$ satisfying, $x \rightarrow \pi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous as a map into $L_1(R^d)$ that admits an invariant probability density $\phi(x)$. We need to prove that for compact K ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \int |\pi^n(x, y) - \phi(y)|dy$$

The basic idea here is coupling. Let us take two copies with transition probability

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\pi}(x_1, x_2; dy_1, dy_2) &= \int \min\{\pi(x_1, y)\pi(x_2, y)\}\delta(y - y_1) \times \delta(y - y_2)dy \\ &+ \int [\pi(x_1, y) - \min\{\pi(x_1, y)\pi(x_2, y)\}]\delta(y - y_1)dy \\ &\times \int [\pi(x_2, y) \min\{\pi(x_1, y)\pi(x_2, y)\}]\delta(y - y_2)dy\end{aligned}$$

In words, if $g(y) = \min\{\pi(x_1, y)\pi(x_2, y)\}$ the two components jump on to the diagonal $y = y_1 = y_2$ with density $g(y)dy$ and jump independently with the remaining probability. Once they are on the diagonal they stay on the diagonal. It is not hard to see that each component evolves according to π . Therefore

$$\int |\pi^n(x_1, y) - \pi^n(x_2, y)|dy \leq \widehat{\pi}^n(x_1, x_2; \{y_1 \neq y_2\}) = \delta_n(x_1, x_2)$$

and if $r_n(x_1, x_2, dy_1, dy_2)$ is the part of $\widehat{\pi}^n$ away from the diagonal

$$\delta_{n+1}(x_1, x_2) = \delta_n(x_1, x_2) - 2 \int \min\{\pi(y_1, y), \pi(y_2, y)\}r_n(x_1, x_2, dy_1, dy_2)dy$$

Since $\delta_n \geq 0$ we must have

$$\int \min\{\pi(y_1, y), \pi(y_2, y)\}r_n(x_1, x_2, dy_1, dy_2)dy \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\pi(x, y) > 0$ a.e, this is possible only if

$$\int_{\substack{|y_1| \leq \ell \\ |y_2| \leq \ell}} r_n(x_1, x_2, dy_1, dy_2) \rightarrow 0$$

On the other hand if the total mass of r_n does not go to 0, we must have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(x_1, x_2, \{|y_1| \geq \ell \cup |y_2| \geq \ell\}) \geq c > 0$$

Since

$$r_n(x_1, x_2, \{|y_1| \geq \ell \cup |y_2| \geq \ell\}) \leq \pi^n(x_1, |y_1| \geq \ell) + \pi^n(x_2, |y_2| \geq \ell)$$

this contradicts the ergodic theorem

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \pi^j(x, A) \rightarrow \mu(A)$$

Central Limit Theorem. Consider

$$A(t) = \int_0^t f(x(s))ds$$

If $\int f(x)d\mu(x) = 0$, one can expect to prove the C.L.T that states

$$\frac{A(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \simeq N(0, \sigma^2)$$

The proof depends on ability to solve

$$\mathcal{L}u = -f$$

with a nice u . This is the Fredholm alternative. Then by Itô's formula

$$u(x(t)) - u(x) = \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))(\nabla u)(x(s))d\beta(s) - \int_0^t f(x(s))ds$$

Therefore

$$A(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))(\nabla u)(x(s))d\beta(s) - u(x(t)) - u(x)$$

Dividing by \sqrt{t} if we assume that $\frac{u(x(t))}{\sqrt{t}} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we need only analyse

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))(\nabla u)(x(s))d\beta(s) = \widehat{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle a(x(s))\nabla u(x(s)), \nabla u(x(s)) \rangle ds\right)$$

and by the ergodic theorem this converges to $\widehat{\beta}(\sigma^2) \simeq N(0, \sigma^2)$ with

$$\sigma^2 = \int \langle a(x)(\nabla u)(x), (\nabla u)(x) \rangle d\mu(x)$$

One can work with approximate solutions of $\mathcal{L}u = -f$ namely

$$\lambda u_\lambda - \mathcal{L}u_\lambda = f$$

and reduce the CLT to the behavior of u_λ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. One needs

$$\lambda \int \|u_\lambda\|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int \langle a(x)(\nabla u_\lambda(x) - g(x)), (\nabla u_\lambda(x) - g(x)) \rangle d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$$

for some g . One then applies Itô's formula to $u_\lambda(x)$ and chooses $\lambda = t^{-1}$. The errors are easily controlled.

To see this we need to control

$$X_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \lambda u_\lambda(x(s))ds$$

Starting from the equilibrium μ

$$\|X_\lambda(t)\|_2 \leq \lambda\sqrt{t}\|u_\lambda\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}\|u_\lambda\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

As for the stochastic integral part we have the CLT valid for

$$\frac{M_\lambda(t)}{\sqrt{t}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sigma(x(s))(\nabla u_\lambda)(x(s))d\beta(s)$$

The difference between between $M_{\lambda_1}(t)$ and $M_{\lambda_2}(t)$ is easily estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t} E \left[[M_{\lambda_1}(t) - M_{\lambda_2}(t)]^2 \right] \\ &= \int \langle a(x)(\nabla u_{\lambda_1}(x) - \nabla u_{\lambda_2}(x)), (\nabla u_{\lambda_1}(x) - \nabla u_{\lambda_2}(x)) \rangle d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

A special situation is when \mathcal{L} is self adjoint with respect to μ . Then one defines the Dirichlet norm by

$$\|u\|_1^2 = \int \langle a(x)(\nabla u)(x), (\nabla u)(x) \rangle d\mu(x)$$

and the dual norm

$$\|f\|_{-1} = \sup_u \frac{\int f(x)u(x)d\mu}{\|u\|_1}$$

The finiteness of $\|f\|_{-1}$ implies both the conditions needed for CLT and this is easily seen by the spectral theorem. If $k(d\sigma)$ is the spectral measure of f with respect to \mathcal{L} , then the assumption is

$$\int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} dk(\sigma) < \infty$$

The conditions to be proved are

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda + \sigma)^2} k(d\sigma) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda_2 \rightarrow 0}} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \sigma)} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \sigma)} \right|^2 \sigma dk(\sigma) = 0$$

Both are consequences of the dominated convergence theorem.

Large Deviations. We wish to estimate

$$P_x \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s))ds \geq \ell \right]$$

where $\ell > \int f(x)d\mu(x)$. Does this decay exponentially? If so what is the rate? We will do one example. Brownian motion on the circle. The operator is $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ acting on periodic functions of period 1. The invariant measure is just Lebesgue measure. $\phi(x) \equiv 1$.

One way to estimate the rate is to try and compute

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E_x \left[\sigma \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \right] = F(\sigma)$$

Then by Tchebechev's inequality

$$P_x \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \geq \ell \right] \leq e^{-\sigma \ell t + t F(\sigma) + o(t)}$$

and

$$\limsup \frac{1}{t} \log P_x \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \geq \ell \right] \leq F(\sigma) - \ell \sigma$$

Optimizing over $\sigma > 0$,

$$\limsup \frac{1}{t} \log P_x \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \geq \ell \right] \leq - \sup_{\sigma > 0} [\ell \sigma - F(\sigma)]$$

It is not hard to see that $F'(0) = \int f(x) dx$, and therefore for $\ell > \int f(x) dx$,

$$\sup_{\sigma > 0} [\ell \sigma - F(\sigma)] = \sup_{\sigma} [\ell \sigma - F(\sigma)]$$

The same is true in the other side. The large deviation rate function can be then

$$I(\ell) = \sup_{\sigma} [\ell \sigma - F(\sigma)]$$

What is $F(\sigma)$? We can look at Feynman-Kac formula and

$$u(t, x) = E_x \left[\sigma \int_0^t f(x(s)) ds \right]$$

solves

$$u_t = \frac{1}{2} u_{xx} + \sigma f u; u(0, x) = 1$$

If we denote the eigen values and eigen functions of $\frac{1}{2} u_{xx} + \sigma f u$ by $\{\lambda_j, \phi_j\}$, then

$$u(t, x) = \sum_j \phi_j(x) e^{t \lambda_j} \int \phi_j(y) dy$$

The eigenvalues tend to $-\infty$ and the one that counts will be the largest one, the principal eigen value. Note that $\phi_1(y) > 0$. Hence

$$F(\sigma) = \lambda_1(\sigma f) = \sup_{\|g\|_2=1} \left[\sigma \int f(x) g^2(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |g_x|^2 dx \right]$$

We can rewrite this as

$$F(\sigma) = \lambda_1(\sigma f) = \sup_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ \int g(x) dx = 1}} \left[\sigma \int f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{|g_x|^2}{g} dx \right]$$

This has an interpretation. Instead of $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ modify with a drift

$$\mathcal{L}_g = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{g_x}{2g} \frac{d}{dx}$$

This has invariant density g . Now the ergodic theorem will produce g averages. The process then will behave like a process with invariant density g . Call this process $Q_{g,x}$.

$$P_x[A] = \int_A \frac{dP_x}{dQ_{g,x}} dQ_{g,x}$$

Our set A will have $Q_{g,x}$ measure 1. We need to concentrate on how small the derivative $\frac{dP_x}{dQ_{g,x}}$ can be on A . By Girsanov formula

$$\log \frac{dQ_{g,x}}{dP_x} = \int \frac{g_x}{2g}(x(s)) dx(s) - \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{g_x^2(x(s))}{g^2(x(s))} ds$$

The ergodic theorem under $Q_{g,x}$ will produce a limit

$$\frac{1}{t} \log \frac{dQ_{g,x}}{dP_x} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{g_x^2}{g} dx - \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{g_x^2}{g} dx = \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{g_x^2}{g} dx$$

giving with the help of Jensen's inequality a lower bound of

$$\exp \left[-\frac{t}{8} \int \frac{g_x^2}{g} dx \right]$$

for a Brownian Motion to behave like a process with invariant density g for a duration t . This provides for our original estimate

$$I(\ell) = \inf_{\substack{g \geq 0, \int g dx = 1 \\ \int f(x)g(x) dx = \ell}} \left[\frac{1}{8} \int \frac{g_x^2}{g} dx \right]$$