

Lecture 11.

Scaling limits of Large Systems. Start with an example. Let $\{x_i(t)\}$ be a collection of processes such that

$$dx_i(t) = c[x_{i-1}(t) - 2x_i(t) + x_{i+1}(t)]dt + \gamma_i(t)$$

where $c > 0$ and $\gamma_i(t) = \beta_{i,i+1}(t) - \beta_{i-1,i}(t)$. This is an example of a system of interacting processes. They are associated with sites on \mathbf{Z} with interaction between neighbors. They share their stuff with neighbors giving some thing proportional to the difference with some noise.

$$\begin{aligned} dz_{i,i+1}(t) &= c(x_i(t) - x_{i+1}(t))dt + d\beta_{i,i+1}(t) \\ dx_i(t) &= dz_{i-1,i}(t) dt - dz_{i,i+1}(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

We want to look at averages over large blocks. More precisely

$$\sum_i J\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)x_i(t) = \langle J, \xi_N(t) \rangle$$

where J is a smooth function with compact support.

$$d\langle J, \xi_N(t) \rangle = c\langle \Delta_N J, \xi_N(t) \rangle + \langle \nabla_N J, d\beta(t) \rangle$$

If we speed up time by N^2 , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$, if we denote the limit of $\xi_N(t)$ by $\xi(t)$, then

$$d\langle J, \xi(t) \rangle = c\langle \Delta J, \xi(t) \rangle$$

because the noise is negligible. The variance of the noise is

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_i \left[J\left(\frac{i+1}{n}\right) - J\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \right]^2 \cdot N^2 \simeq \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0$$

Leading to the equation

$$d\xi(t) = \Delta\xi(t)dt$$

If initial there is a weak law of large numbers of the form

$$\langle J, \xi_N(0) \rangle \rightarrow \int J(q)m_0(q)dq$$

then at time N^t we have

$$\langle J, \xi_N(t) \rangle \rightarrow \int J(q)m(t, q)dq$$

where m solves the heat equation

$$m_t = c\Delta m; m(0, q) = m_0(q)$$

Now the question is what happens when the equation

$$dx_i(t) = c[x_{i-1}(t) - 2x_i(t) + x_{i+1}(t)]dt + \gamma_i(t)$$

is replaced by

$$dx_i(t) = [c(x_{i-1}(t)) - 2c(x_i(t)) + c(x_{i+1}(t))]dt + \gamma_i(t)$$

where $c(x)$ is nonlinear but like cx with $c > 0$. We can get as far as

$$d\langle J, \xi_N(t) \rangle = \langle \Delta_N J, \eta_N(t) \rangle + \langle \nabla_N J, d\beta(t) \rangle$$

where

$$\langle J, \eta_N(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i J\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) c(x_i(t))$$

The equations do not close. One has to find an expression for $\eta(t)$ in

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle J, \eta_N(t) \rangle = \langle J, \eta(t) \rangle$$

in terms of $m(t)$ that appears as

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle J, \xi_N(t) \rangle = \int J(q) m(t, q) dq$$

The answer is once again the ergodic theorem. If $c(x) = \phi'(x)$ for some nice ϕ then the product measure

$$d\mu = \Pi e^{-\phi(x_i)} dx_i$$

is seen to be invariant. Since $c(x) + \lambda$ and $c(x)$ can not be distinguished,

$$d\mu_\lambda = \Pi e^{-\phi(x_i) + \lambda x_i} dx_i$$

is invariant as well. The idea is that if the local mean is m then locally the variables x_i are distributed like the product measure μ_λ with λ chosen such that

$$\frac{1}{k(\lambda)} \int e^{-\phi(x) + \lambda x} x dx = m$$

where

$$k(\lambda) = \int \int e^{-\phi(x) + \lambda x} dx$$

is the normalization constant. It is easy to see that

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{k'(\lambda)}{k(\lambda)}$$

is nondecreasing in λ (Jensen's inequality says that $\log k(\lambda)$ is convex in λ). It can be inverted and $\lambda = \lambda(m)$. It is easy to see integrating by parts, that

$$\frac{1}{k(\lambda)} \int c(x) e^{-\phi(x)+\lambda x} dx = \lambda$$

since $c(x) = -\phi'(x)$. This suggests that

$$\eta(t, q) = \lambda(m(t, q))$$

giving us in the limit a nonlinear heat equation

$$m_t = \Delta \lambda(m(t, q))$$

Proof: The proof needs some assumptions and simplifications. We shall work on \mathbf{Z}_N the integers modulo N , rather than \mathbf{Z} . In the limit our space will be circle rather than the line. So we do not have an infinite system, but only a large system of size N , i.e a diffusion on R^N . But $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N$ is conserved. So we really have a one parameter family of diffusions on hyperplanes $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i = m$. The density

$$\Phi_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i)}$$

is invariant, but not ergodic. The conditional distributions given the mean are ergodic. Let us start initially with a random configurations according to the density $f_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, satisfying

$$\int_{R^N} \log \frac{f_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)}{\Phi_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)} f_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \cdots dx_N \leq CN$$

for some $C < \infty$, independent of N . Then the distribution at time $N^2 t$ has a density $f_N(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ and the average density

$$\bar{f}_N^T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f_N(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dt$$

satisfies certain properties. The last property is the one we need, which is

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N J\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) [c(x_i) - \lambda(\bar{x}_{i, N\epsilon})] \right| \bar{f}_N^T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_N = 0$$

where

$$\bar{x}_{i, N\epsilon} = \frac{1}{N\epsilon} \sum_{j: |i-j| \leq N\epsilon} x_j$$