

## Chapter 3

# Large Time.

### 3.1 Introduction.

The goal of this chapter is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.1.** *Let  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$  be the nearest neighbor random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with each  $X_i = \pm e_r$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2d}$  where  $\{e_r\}$  are the unit vectors in the  $d$  positive coordinate directions. Let  $D_n$  be the range of  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .  $|D_n|$  is the cardinality of the range  $D_n$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{d}{d+2}}} \log E[e^{-\nu|D_n|}] = -k(\nu, d)$$

where

$$k(\nu, d) = \inf_{\ell} [v(d)\ell^d + \lambda(d)\ell^{-2}]$$

with  $v(d)$  equal to the volume of the unit ball, and  $\lambda(d)$  is the smaller eigenvalue of  $-\frac{1}{2d}\Delta$  in the unit ball with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The starting point of the investigation is a result on large deviations from the ergodic theorem for Markov Chains. Let  $\Pi = \{p(x, y)\}$  be the matrix of transition probability of a Markov Chain on a finite state space  $\mathcal{X}$ . We will assume that for any pair  $x, y$ , there is some power  $n$  with  $\Pi^n(x, y) > 0$ . Then there is a unique invariant probability distribution  $\pi = \{\pi(x)\}$  such that  $\sum_x \pi(x)p(x, y) = \pi(y)$  and according to the ergodic theorem for any  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , almost surely with respect to the Markov Chain  $P_x$  starting at time 0 from any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j) = \sum_x f(x)\pi(x)$$

The natural question on large deviations here is to determine the rate of convergence to 0 of

$$P\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j) - \sum_x f(x)\pi(x)\right| \geq \delta\right]? \quad (3.1)$$

More generally on the space  $\mathcal{M}$  of probability distributions on  $\mathcal{X}$  we can define a probability measure  $Q_{n,x}$  defined as the distribution of the empirical distribution  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{X_j}$  which is a random point in  $\mathcal{M}$ . The ergodic theorem can be reinterpreted as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n,x} = \delta_\pi$$

i.e. the empirical distribution is close to the invariant distribution with probability nearly 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We want to establish a large deviation principle and determine the corresponding rate function  $I(\mu)$ . One can then determine the behavior of (3.1) as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j) - \sum_x f(x)\pi(x)\right| \geq \delta\right] = \inf [I(\mu) : \left|\sum_x f(x)[\mu(x) - \pi(x)]\right| \geq \delta]$$

With a little extra work, under suitable transitivity conditions one can show that for  $x \in A$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_{n,x}[X_j \in A \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n] = - \inf_{\mu: \mu(A)=1} I(\mu)$$

There are two ways of looking at  $I(\mu)$ . For the upper bound if we can estimate for  $V \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E^P[V(X_1) + V(X_2) + \cdots + V(X_n)] \leq \lambda(V)$$

then by standard Tchebychev type estimate

$$I(\mu) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i} \in N(\mu, \delta)\right] \leq - \sup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\int V(x)d\mu(x) - \lambda(V)\right]$$

Of course when the state space is finite  $\int V(x)d\mu(x) = \sum_x V(x)\mu(x)$ . Notice that  $\lambda(V + c) = \lambda(V) + c$  of any constant  $c$ . Therefore

$$I(\mu) \geq \sup_{\substack{V \in \mathcal{V} \\ \lambda(V)=0}} \int V(x)d\mu(x) \quad (3.2)$$

It is not hard to construct  $V$  such that  $\lambda(V) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *Suppose for some  $u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $C \geq u(x) \geq c > 0$  for all  $x$ , then with  $V(x) = \log \frac{u(x)}{(\pi u)(x)}$  where  $(\pi u)(x) = \sum_y \pi(x, y)u(y)$ , uniformly in  $x$  and  $n$ ,*

$$\frac{c}{C} \leq E_x[\exp[\sum_{i=1}^n V(X_i)]] \leq \frac{C}{c} \quad (3.3)$$

*In particular  $\lambda(V) = 0$ , and (3.2) holds.*

*Proof.* An elementary calculation shows that

$$E_x[P_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{u(X_i)}{(\pi u)(X_i)}] \leq \frac{1}{c} E_x[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{u(X_i)}{(\pi u)(X_i)} u(X_n)] = \frac{u(x)}{c} \leq \frac{C}{c}$$

and

$$E_x[P_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{u(X_i)}{(\pi u)(X_i)}] \geq \frac{1}{C} E_x[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{u(X_i)}{(\pi u)(X_i)} u(X_n)] = \frac{u(x)}{C} \leq \frac{c}{C}$$

□

To prove the converse one "tilts" the measure  $P_x$  with transition probability  $\pi(x, y)$  to a measure  $Q_x$  with transition probability  $q(x, y) > 0$  that has  $\mu$  as the invariant probability. Then by the law of large numbers if  $A_{n,\mu,\delta} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i} \in N(\mu, \delta)\}$ , then

$$Q_x[A_{n,\mu,\delta}] \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand with  $x_0 = x$ , using Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} P_x[A_{n,\mu,\delta}] &= \int_{A_{n,\mu,\delta}} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi(x_i, x_{i+1})}{q(x_i, x_{i+1})} \right] dQ_x \\ &= Q_x[A_{n,\mu,\delta}] \frac{1}{Q_x[A_{n,\mu,\delta}]} \int_{A_{n,\mu,\delta}} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\pi(x_i, x_{i+1})}{q(x_i, x_{i+1})} \right] dQ_x \\ &\geq Q_x[A_{n,\mu,\delta}] \exp \left[ - \int_{A_{n,\mu,\delta}} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log \frac{q(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\pi(x_i, x_{i+1})} \right] dQ_x \right] \end{aligned}$$

A simple application of the ergodic theorem yields

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_x[A_{n,\mu,\delta}] \geq - \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)}$$

Since  $q(\cdot, \cdot)$  can be arbitrary provided  $\mu q = q$ , i.e  $\sum_x \mu(x) q(x, y) = \mu(y)$ , we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_x \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i} \in N(\mu, \delta) \right] \geq - \inf_{q: \mu q = \mu} \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)}$$

In the next lemma we will prove that for any  $\mu$ ,

$$\sup_{u > 0} \sum_x \mu(x) \log \frac{u(x)}{(\pi u)(x)} = \inf_{\mu: \mu q = \mu} \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)}$$

With that we will have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.3.** Let  $\pi(x, y) > 0$  be the transition probability of a Markov chain  $\{X_i\}$  on a finite state space  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $Q_{n,x}$  be the distribution of the empirical distribution  $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$  of the Markov Chain started from  $x$  on the space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  of probability measures on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then it satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function

$$I(\mu) = \sup_{u>0} \sum_x \mu(x) \log \frac{u(x)}{(\pi u)(x)} = \inf_{\mu:\mu q=\mu} \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)}$$

Since we have already proved the upper and lower bounds we only need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.4.**

$$\sup_{u>0} \sum_x \mu(x) \log \frac{u(x)}{(\pi u)(x)} = \inf_{q:\mu q=\mu} \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)}$$

*Proof.* The proof depends on the following minimax theorem. Let  $F(x, y)$  be a function defined on  $C \times D$  which are convex sets in some nice topological vector space. Let  $F$  be lower semicontinuous and convex in  $x$  and upper semicontinuous and concave in  $y$ . Let either  $C$  or  $D$  be compact. Then

$$\inf_{x \in C} \sup_{y \in D} F(x, y) = \sup_{y \in D} \inf_{x \in C} F(x, y)$$

We take  $C = \{v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $D = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$  and for  $v \in C, m \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{q:\mu q=\mu} \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)} \\ &= \inf_q \sup_v \left[ \sum_{x,y} [v(x) - v(y)] q(x, y) \mu(x) + \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)} \right] \\ &= \sup_v \inf_q \left[ \sum_{x,y} [v(x) - v(y)] q(x, y) \mu(x) + \sum_{x,y} \mu(x) q(x, y) \log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F$  is clearly linear and hence concave in  $v$  while being convex in  $q$ . Here the supremum over  $v$  of the first term is either 0 or infinite. It is 0 when  $\mu q = \mu$  and infinite otherwise. The infimum over  $q$  is over all transition matrices  $q(x, y)$ . The infimum over  $q$  can be explicitly carried out and yields for some  $u$  and  $v$ .

$$\log \frac{q(x, y)}{\pi(x, y)} = u(y) - v(x)$$

The normalization  $\sum_y q(x, y) \equiv 1$  implies  $e^{v(x)} = (\pi e^u)(x)$ . The supremum over  $v$  turns into

$$\sup_{u>0} \sum_x \mu(x) \log \frac{u(x)}{(\pi u)(x)}$$

□

**Remark 3.1.5.** *It is useful to note that the function  $f \log f$  is bounded below by its value at  $f = e^{-1}$  which is  $-e^{-1}$ . For any set  $A$ , any function  $f$  and any probability measure  $\mu$ ,*

$$\int_A f \log f d\mu \leq \int f \log f d\mu + e^{-1}$$

### 3.2 Large Deviations and the principal eigen-values.

Let  $\{p(x, y)\}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , be a matrix with strictly positive entries. Then there is a positive eigenvalue  $\rho$  such that it is simple, has a corresponding eigenvector with positive entries, and the remaining eigenvalues are of modulus strictly smaller than  $\rho$ . If  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a stochastic matrix then  $\sum_y p(x, y) = 1$  i.e.  $\rho = 1$  and the corresponding eigenvector  $u(x) \equiv 1$ . In general if  $\sum p(x, y)u(y) = \rho u(x)$ , then  $\pi(x, y) = \frac{p(x, y)u(y)}{u(x)}$  is a stochastic matrix. An elementary calculation yields

$$\sum_y p^{(n)}(x, y)u(y) = \rho^n u(x)$$

and consequently

$$\frac{\inf_x u(x)}{\sup_x u(x)} \rho^n \leq \inf_x \sum_y p^{(n)}(x, y) \leq \sup_x \sum_y p^{(n)}(x, y) \leq \frac{\sup_x u(x)}{\inf_x u(x)} \rho^n$$

Combined with the recurrence relation

$$p^{(n+1)}(x, y) = \sum_z p^{(n)}(x, z)p(z, y)$$

it is easy to obtain a lower bound

$$p^{(n+1)}(x, y) \geq \inf_{z, y} p(z, y) \inf_x \sum_z p^{(n)}(x, z) \geq \inf_{z, y} p(z, y) \frac{\sup_x u(x)}{\inf_x u(x)} \rho^n$$

In any case there are constants  $C, c$  such that

$$c\rho^n \leq p^{(n)}(x, y) \leq C\rho^n$$

$\rho = \rho(p(\cdot))$  is the spectral radius of  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Of special interest will be the case when  $p(x, y) = p_V(x, y) = \pi(x, y)e^{V(y)}$  i.e  $p$  multiplied on the right by the diagonal matrix with entries  $\{e^{V(x)}\}$ . The following lemma is a simple computation easily proved by induction on  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *Let  $P_x$  be the Markov process with transition probability  $\pi(x, y)$  starting from  $x$ . Then*

$$E^{P_x} \left[ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n V(X_i) \right] \right] = \sum_y p_V^{(n)}(x, y)$$

where  $p_V(x, y) = \pi(x, y)e^{V(y)}$ .

It is now easy to connect large deviations and the principal eigenvalue.

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *The principal eigenvalue of a matrix  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  with positive entries is its spectral radius  $\rho(p(\cdot, \cdot))$  and the large deviation rate function  $I(\mu)$  for the distribution of the empirical distribution  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$  on the space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  is the convex dual of*

$$\lambda(V) = \log \rho(p_V(\cdot, \cdot))$$

**Remark 3.2.3.** *It is not necessary to demand that  $\pi(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y$ . It is enough to demand only that for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\pi^{(k)}(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y$ . One can allow periodicity by allowing  $k$  to depend on  $x, y$ . These are straight forward modifications carried out in the study of Markov Chains.*

### 3.3 Dirichlet Eigenvalues.

Let  $F \subset \mathcal{X}$ . Our aim is to estimate for a Markov Chain  $P_x$  with transition probability  $\pi(x, y)$  and starting form  $x \in F$

$$\begin{aligned} P_x [X_i \in F, i = 1, \dots, n] &= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in F} \pi(x, x_1) p(x_1, x_2) \cdots \pi(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \sum_y p_F^{(n)}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_F(x, y) = \pi(x, y)$  if  $x, y \in F$  and 0 otherwise. In other words  $p_F$  is a sub-stochastic matrix on  $F$ . In some sense this corresponds to  $p_V$  where  $V = 0$  on  $F$  and  $-\infty$  on  $F^c$ . The spectral radius  $\rho(F)$  of  $p_F$  has the property that for  $x \in F$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_x [X_i \in F, i = 1, \dots, n] = \log \rho(p_F)$$

In our case it is a little more complicated, because we have a ball of radius  $cn^\alpha$  and we want our random walk in  $n$  steps to be confined to this ball. The set  $F$  of the previous discussion depends on  $n$ . The idea is if we scale space and time and use the invariance principle as our guide this should be roughly the same as the probability that a Brownian motion with covariance  $\frac{1}{d}I$  remains inside a ball of radius  $c$  during the time interval  $0 \leq t \leq n^{1-2\alpha}$ . We have done the Brownian rescaling by factors  $n^{2\alpha}$  for time and  $n^\alpha$  for space. This will

have probability decaying like  $\lambda_d(c)n^{1-2\alpha}$  where  $\lambda_d(c) = \frac{\lambda_d}{c^2}$  is the eigenvalue of  $\frac{\Delta}{2d}$  for the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The volume of the ball of radius  $cn^\alpha$  is  $v_d c^d n^{d\alpha}$  and that is roughly the maximum number of lattice points that can be visited by a random walk confined to the ball of radius  $cn^\alpha$ . The contribution from such paths is  $\exp[-\nu v_d c^d n^{d\alpha} - \frac{\lambda_d}{c^2} n^{1-2\alpha}]$ . It is clearly best to choose  $\alpha = \frac{1}{d+2}$  so that we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{d}{d+2}}} \log E[\exp[-\nu |D_n|]] \geq -[\nu v_d c^d + \frac{\lambda_d}{c^2}]$$

If we compute

$$\inf_{c>0} [\nu v_d c^d + \frac{\lambda_d}{c^2}] = k(d) \nu^{\frac{2}{d+2}}$$

then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{d}{d+2}}} \log E[\exp[-\nu |D_n|]] \geq -k(d) \nu^{\frac{2}{d+2}}$$

We will first establish this lower bound rigorously and then prove the upper bound.

### 3.4 Lower Bound.

We begin with a general inequality that gets used repeatedly. Let  $Q \ll P$  with  $\psi = \frac{dQ}{dP}$  and  $\int \psi \log \psi dP = H(Q, P) = H < \infty$ . Then

**Lemma 3.4.1.** *For any function  $f$*

$$E^Q[f] \leq \log E^P[e^f] + H$$

Moreover

$$Q(A) \leq \frac{H + e}{\log \frac{1}{P(A)}}$$

and

$$P(A) \geq Q(A) \exp[-H - \int |H - \log \psi| dQ]$$

*Proof.* It is a simple inequality to check that for any  $x$  and  $y > 0$ ,  $xy \leq e^x + y \log y - y$ . Therefore

$$E^Q[f] = E^P[f\psi] \leq E^P[e^f + \psi \log \psi - \psi] = E^P[e^f] + H - 1$$

Replacing  $f$  by  $f + c$

$$E^Q[f] \leq e^c E^P[e^f] + H - 1 - c$$

With the choice of  $c = -\log E^P[e^f]$ , we obtain

$$E^Q[f] \leq \log E^P[e^f] + H$$

If we take  $f = c\chi_A$ ,

$$Q(A) \leq \frac{1}{c}[\log[e^c P(A) + 1 - P(A)] + H]$$

with  $c = -\log P(A)$ ,

$$Q(A) \leq \frac{H + 2}{\log \frac{1}{P(A)}}$$

Finally

$$P(A) \geq \int_A e^{-\log \psi} dQ \geq Q(A) \frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_A e^{-\log \psi} dQ \geq Q(A) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_A \log \psi dQ\right]$$

and

$$\frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_A \log \psi dQ \leq H + \int |H - \log \psi| dQ$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.2.** *Let  $c, c_1, c_2$  be constants. with  $c_2 < c$ . Then for the random walk  $\{P_x\}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n^{-\alpha} x_n \rightarrow x}} P_{x_n}[X_i \in B(0, c n^\alpha) \forall 1 \leq i \leq c_1 n^{2\alpha} \ \& \ X_{c_1 n^{2\alpha}} \in B(0, c_2 n^\alpha)] \\ &= Q_x[x(t) \in B(0, c) \forall t \in [0, c_1] \ \& \ x(c_1) \in B(0, c_2)] \\ &= f(x, c, c_1, c_2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_x$  is Brownian motion with covariance  $\frac{1}{d}I$ .

This is just the invariance principle asserting the convergence of random walk to Brownian motion under suitable rescaling. The set of trajectories confined to a ball of radius  $c$  for time  $c_1$  and end up at time  $c_1$  inside a ball of radius  $c_2$  is easily seen to be a continuity set for Brownian motion. The convergence is locally uniform and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in B(0, c_2 n^\alpha)} P_x[X_i \in B(0, c n^\alpha) \forall 1 \leq i \leq c_1 n^{2\alpha} \ \& \ X_{c_1 n^{2\alpha}} \in B(0, c_2 n^\alpha)] \\ &= \inf_{x \in B(0, c_2)} f(x, c, c_1, c_2) \end{aligned}$$

In particular from the Markov property

$$\begin{aligned} & P_0[X_i \in B(0, c n^\alpha) \forall 1 \leq i \leq n] \\ & \geq \inf_{x \in B(0, c_2 n^\alpha)} P_x[X_i \in B(0, c n^\alpha) \forall 1 \leq i \leq c_1 n^{2\alpha} \ \& \ X_{c_1 n^{2\alpha}} \in B(0, c_2 n^\alpha)]^{\frac{n^{1-2\alpha}}{c_1}} \end{aligned}$$

showing

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-2\alpha}} \log P_0[X_i \in B(0, c n^\alpha) \forall 1 \leq i \leq n] \\ & \geq \inf_{x \in B(0, c_2)} \frac{1}{c_1} \log f(x, c, c_1, c_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side is independent of  $c_1$  we can let  $c_1 \rightarrow \infty$ .



**Lemma 3.4.3.** *For any  $c_2 < c$ ,*

$$\lim_{c_1 \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in B(0, c_2)} \frac{1}{c_1} \log f(x, c, c_1, c_2) = -\frac{\lambda_d}{c^2}$$

*Proof.* Because of the scaling properties of the Brownian motion we can assume without loss of generality that  $c = 1$ . Let  $\phi(x) \geq 0$  be a function that is smooth and vanishes outside the ball  $B(0, 1)$  and  $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$ . Let  $g(x) = [\phi(x)]^2$ . Consider Brownian motion with drift  $\frac{1}{2d} \frac{\nabla g}{g}$ . Then its generator is

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{2d} \Delta + \frac{1}{2d} \frac{\nabla g}{g} \cdot \nabla$$

It has invariant measure  $g$  that solves

$$\Delta g = \frac{1}{2d} \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla g}{g} \cdot g$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivative of the diffusion  $\widehat{Q}_x$  with generator  $\Delta_g$  with respect to Brownian motion  $Q_x$  with generator  $\frac{1}{2d} \Delta$  is

$$\psi_t = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\nabla g}{g}(x(s)) dx(s) - \frac{1}{8d} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\nabla g}{g} \right]^2(x(s)) ds \right]$$

with entropy

$$\begin{aligned} H(\widehat{Q}_x, Q_x) &= E_x^{\widehat{Q}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\nabla g}{g}(x(s)) dx(s) - \frac{1}{8d} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\nabla g}{g} \right]^2(x(s)) ds \right] \\ &= E_x^{\widehat{Q}} \left[ \frac{1}{8d} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\nabla g}{g} \right]^2(x(s)) ds \right] \\ &\simeq \frac{t}{8d} \int \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{g} dx \\ &= \frac{t}{2d} \int |\nabla \phi|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int \left| \frac{\log \psi_t}{t} - \bar{H} \right| dQ_0 \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\bar{H} = \frac{t}{2d} \int |\nabla \phi|^2$ . In view of lemma 3.4.1 this provides the lower bound

$$\inf_{x \in B(0, c_2)} \lim_{c_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_1} \log f(x, c, c_1, c_2) \geq -\frac{1}{2d} \int |\nabla \phi|^2 dx$$

□

Minimizing over  $g$  proves the lemma.

### 3.5 Upper Bound.

The upper bound starts with the following simple observation. If  $\pi(x, y)$  is the transition probability of a Markov Chain and  $V(x, y) = \log \frac{u(y)}{(\Pi u)(x)}$ , then

$$E^{P_x} \left[ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} V(X_i, X_{i+1}) \right] \right] = 1$$

Taking conditional expectation given  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$  gives

$$E^{P_x} \left[ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} V(X_i, X_{i+1}) \right] \right] = E^{P_x} \left[ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} V(X_i, X_{i+1}) \right] \right]$$

because

$$E^{P_{X_{n-1}}} [\exp[V(X_{n-1}, X_n)]] = \frac{\sum_y \pi(X_{n-1}, y) u(y)}{(\Pi u)(X_{n-1})} = 1$$

Proceeding inductively we obtain our assertion.

Let us map our random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  to the unit torus by rescaling  $z \rightarrow \frac{z}{N} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and then on to the torus  $\mathcal{T}^d$  by sending each coordinate  $x_i$  to  $x_i \pmod{1}$ . The transition probabilities  $\Pi_N(x, dy)$  are  $x \rightarrow x \pm \frac{e_i}{N}$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2d}$ . Let  $u > 0$  be a smooth function on the torus. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{u}{\Pi u}(x) &= -\log \frac{\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i,\pm} u(x \pm \frac{e_i}{N})}{u(x)} \\ &= -\log \left[ 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i,\pm} [u(x \pm \frac{e_i}{N}) - u(x)]}{u(x)} \right] \\ &\simeq -\frac{1}{2dN^2} \frac{\Delta u}{u}(x) + o(N^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Denoting the the distribution of the scaled random walk on the torus starting from  $x$ , by  $P_{N,x}$  we first derive a large deviation principle for the empirical distribution

$$\alpha(n, \omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$$

where  $X_i \in \mathcal{T}^d$  are already rescaled. We denote by  $Q_{n,N,x}$  the distribution of  $\alpha_n$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$ . If  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k = \frac{n}{N^2} \rightarrow \infty$ , then we have a large deviation principle for  $Q_{n,N,x}$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.1.** *For any closed set  $C \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$ ,*

$$\limsup_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ k = \frac{n}{N^2} \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{n,N,x}(C) \leq - \inf_{\mu \in C} I(\mu)$$

and for any open set  $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$ ,

$$\liminf_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ k = \frac{n}{N^2} \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{n,N,x}(G) \geq - \inf_{\mu \in G} I(\mu)$$

where, if  $d\mu = f dx$  and  $\nabla \sqrt{f} \in L_2(\mathcal{T}^d)$ ,

$$I(\mu) = \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx = \frac{1}{2d} \int \|\nabla \sqrt{f}\|^2 dx$$

Otherwise  $I(\mu) = +\infty$ .

*Proof. Lower Bound.* We need to add a bias so that the invariant probability for the perturbed chain on the imbedded lattice  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}_N^d$  is close to a distribution with density  $f$  on the torus. We take  $v(x) = \sum_y \pi(x,y)f(y)$  and the transition probability to be

$$\hat{\pi}(x,y) = \pi(x,y) \frac{f(y)}{v(x)}$$

then  $\sum_x v(x)\hat{\pi}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i,\pm} f(x \pm e_i) = v(x)$ , so the invariant probability is  $\frac{v(x)}{\sum_x v(x)}$ . It is not hard to prove ( see exercise ) that if  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\frac{n}{N^2} \rightarrow \infty$  then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1} V(X_i) \rightarrow \int V(x)f(x)dx$$

in probability under  $\hat{Q}_{n,N,x}$  provided  $V$  is a bounded continuous function and  $\int f(x)dx = 1$ . So the probability large deviation will have a lower bound with the rate function computed from the entropy

$$\begin{aligned} n \sum_{x,y} v(x)\pi(x,y) \frac{f(y)}{v(x)} \log \frac{f(y)}{v(x)} &\simeq \frac{n}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \pi(x,y) f(y) \log \frac{f(y)}{\sum_y \pi(x,y) f(y)} \\ &\simeq \frac{n}{N^2} \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \end{aligned}$$

*Upper Bound.* We start with the identity

$$\begin{aligned} E^{P_{n,x}} \left[ \exp \left[ - \sum_{j=1}^n \log \frac{\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i,\pm} u(X_j \pm \frac{1}{N} e_i)}{u(X_j)} \right] \right] \\ = E^{Q_{n,N,x}} \left[ \exp \left[ -n \int [\log \frac{\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i,\pm} u(x \pm \frac{1}{N} e_i)}{u(x)}] d\alpha \right] \right] \\ = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$N^2 \log \frac{\frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i,\pm} u(x \pm \frac{1}{N} e_i)}{u(x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2d} \frac{\Delta u}{u}(x)$$

uniformly over  $x \in \mathcal{T}^d$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ k = \frac{n}{N^2} \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} Q_{n,N,x}[B(\alpha, \delta)] \leq -I(\alpha)$$

where

$$I(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2d} \sup_{u>0} \int \left[ -\frac{\Delta u}{u}(x) \right] d\alpha \quad (3.4)$$

□

A routine covering argument, of closed sets that are really compact in the weak topology, by small balls completes the proof of the upper bound. It is easy to see that  $I(\alpha)$  is convex, lower semi continuous and translation invariant. By replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha_\delta = (1 - \delta)\alpha * \phi_\delta + \delta$  we see that  $I(\alpha_\delta) \leq I(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha_\delta \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\alpha_\delta$  has a nice density  $f_\delta$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that for smooth strictly positive  $f$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2d} \sup_{u>0} \int \left[ -\frac{\Delta u}{u}(x) \right] f(x) dx = \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx$$

Writing  $u = e^h$ , the calculation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2d} \sup_h \left[ \int [-\Delta h - |\nabla h|^2] f(x) dx \right] &= \frac{1}{2d} \sup_h \left[ \int [\langle \nabla h, \nabla f \rangle dx - \int |\nabla h|^2] f(x) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \end{aligned}$$

One inequality is just obtained by Schwartz and the other by the choice of  $h = \sqrt{f}$ .

**Exercise 3.5.2.** Let  $\Pi_h$  be transition probabilities of a Markov Chain  $P_{h,x}$  on a compact space  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\frac{1}{h}[\Pi_h - I] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nice diffusion generator with a unique invariant distribution  $\mu$ . Then for any continuous function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\limsup_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ nh \rightarrow \infty}} \sup_x P_{h,x} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j) - \int f(x) d\mu(x) \right| \geq \epsilon \right] = 0$$

*Hint.* If we denote by  $\mu_{n,h,x}$  the distribution  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Pi^j(x, \cdot)$ , then verify that any limit point of  $\mu_{n,h,x'}$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $nh \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x' \rightarrow x$  is an invariant distribution of  $\mathcal{L}$  and therefore is equal to  $\mu$ . This implies

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0, \\ nh \rightarrow \infty}} \mu_{n,h,x} = \mu$$

uniformly over  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . The ergodic theorem is a consequence of this. If  $\int V(x)d\mu(x) = 0$ , then ignoring the  $n$  diagonal terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} E_x [(V(X_1) + V(X_2) + \cdots + V(X_n))^2] \\ & \leq 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{x,i} |V(x) E[V(X_{i+1}) + \cdots + V(X_n) | X_i = x]| \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

### 3.6 The role of topology.

We are really interested in the number of sites visited. If  $\alpha_n$  is the empirical distribution then we can take the convolution  $g_{n,N,\omega}(x) = \alpha_n(dx) * N^d \mathbf{1}_{C_N}(x)$  where  $C_N$  is the cube of size  $\frac{1}{N}$  centered at the origin. Then

$$|\{x : g_{n,N,\omega}(x) > 0\}| = \frac{1}{N^d} |D_n(\omega)|$$

where  $|D_n(\omega)|$  is the cardinality of the set  $D_n(\omega)$  of the sites visited. We are looking for a result of the form,

**Theorem 3.6.1.**

$$\limsup_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log E^{Q_{kN^2,N}} [\exp[-\nu |\{x : g(x) > 0\}|]] \leq - \inf_{g \geq 0, \int g = 1} [\nu |\{x : g(x) > 0\}| + \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{g} dx]$$

where  $Q_{kN^2,N}$  is the distribution of  $g_{n,N,\omega}(x) = \alpha_n(dx) * N^d \mathbf{1}_{C_N}(x)$  on  $L_1(\mathcal{T}^d)$  induced by the random walk with  $n = kN^2$  starting from the origin.

We do have a large deviation result for  $Q_{kN^2,N}$  with rate function  $I(g) = \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{g} dx$ . We proved it for the distribution of  $\alpha_{n,\omega}$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$  in the weak topology. In the weak topology the map  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha * N^d \mathbf{1}_{C_N}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$  is uniformly close to identity that the large deviation principle holds for  $Q_{kN^2,N}$  that are supported on  $L_1(\mathcal{T}^d) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$  in the weak topology.

If we had the large deviation result for  $Q_{kN^2,N}$  in the  $L_1$  topology we will be in good shape. The function  $F(g) = |\{x : g(x) > 0\}|$  is lower semi continuous in  $L_1$ . It is not hard to prove the following general fact.

**Theorem 3.6.2.** *Let  $P_n$  be a family of probability distributions on a complete separable metric space  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying a large deviation principle with rate function  $I(x)$ . Let  $F(x)$  be a nonnegative lower semi continuous function on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log E^{P_n} [\exp[-F(x)]] = - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} [F(x) + I(x)]$$

*Proof.* Let  $\inf_x [F(x) + I(x)] = v$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  there is neighborhood  $B(y, \epsilon(y))$  such that for large  $n$

$$\int_{B(y, \epsilon(y))} e^{-F(x)} dP_n(x) \leq e^{-\inf_{x \in B(y, \epsilon(y))} F(x)} P_n[B(y, \epsilon(y))] \leq e^{-nv+n\epsilon}$$

Given any  $L < \infty$ , the set  $K_L = \{x : I(x) \leq L\}$  is compact and can be covered by a finite union of the neighborhoods  $B(y, \epsilon(y))$  so that

$$G_{\epsilon, L} = \cup_{i=1}^{m(\epsilon, L)} B(y_i, \epsilon(y_i)) \supset K_L$$

While

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{G_{\epsilon, L}} e^{-nF(x)} dP_n \leq -v + \epsilon$$

we also have, since  $F(x) \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{G_{\epsilon, L}^c} e^{-nF(x)} dP_n &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n[G_{\epsilon, L}^c] \\ &\leq - \inf_{y \in G_{\epsilon, L}^c} I(y) \leq - \inf_{y \in K_L^c} I(y) \\ &\leq -L \end{aligned}$$

We can make  $L$  large and  $\epsilon$  small. □

Let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary. Let  $\phi_\delta(x)$  be an approximation of identity.  $g_\delta = g * \phi_\delta$  a map of  $L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ . This is a continuous map from  $L_1 \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}^d)$  with the weak topology to  $L_1$  with the strong topology. If we denote the image of  $Q_{kN^2, N}$  by  $Q_{kN^2, N}^\delta$  it is easy to deduce the following

**Theorem 3.6.3.** *For any  $\delta > 0$  the distributions  $Q_{kN^2, N}^\delta$  satisfy a large deviation principle as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  so that for  $C \in L_1(\mathcal{T}^d)$  that are closed we have*

$$\limsup_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{kN^2, N}^\delta[C] \leq \inf_{g: g_\delta \in C} I(g)$$

and for  $G$  that are open

$$\liminf_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{kN^2, N}^\delta[G] \geq \inf_{g: g_\delta \in G} I(g)$$

But we need the results in the result for  $\delta = 0$ , and this involves interchanging the two limits. This can be done through the super exponential estimate

**Theorem 3.6.4.**

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{kN^2, N}^\delta [g : \|g_\delta - g\|_1 \geq \epsilon] \leq -\infty$$

Once we have that it is not difficult to verify that the rate function for  $Q_{kN^2, N}$  in  $L_1$  is also  $I(g)$  and we would have completed our proof. We will outline first the idea of the proof and reduce it to some lemmas. Denoting  $N^d \mathbf{1}_{C_N}$  by  $\chi_N$  The quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha * N^d \mathbf{1}_{C_N} * \phi_\delta - \alpha * N^d \mathbf{1}_{C_N}\|_1 &= \sup_{V: |V(x)| \leq 1} \left| \int V * \chi_N * \phi_\delta d\alpha - \int V * \chi_N d\alpha \right| \\ &= \sup_{V \in K_N} \left| \int V * \phi_\delta d\alpha - \int V d\alpha \right| \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_N$ , the image of  $V : |V(x)| \leq 1$  under convolution with  $\chi_N$ , is a compact set in  $C(\mathcal{T}^d)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  it can be covered by a finite number  $\tau(N, \epsilon)$  of balls of radius  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let us denote the set of centers by  $D_{N, \epsilon}$ , whose cardinality is  $\tau(N, \epsilon)$ . Then we can estimate

$$Q_{kN^2, N} [g : \|g_\delta - g\|_1 \geq \epsilon] \leq \tau(N, \epsilon) \sup_{V \in D_{N, \epsilon}} Q_{kN^2, N} [ \left| \int (V * \phi_\delta - V) d\alpha \right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} ]$$

We begin by estimating the size of  $\tau(N, \epsilon)$ . The modulus continuity of any  $W \in D_{N, \epsilon}$  satisfies

$$|W(x) - W(y)| \leq \int |\chi(x-z) - \chi(y-z)| dz \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

provided  $|x - y| \leq \frac{\eta}{N}$  for some  $\eta = \eta(\epsilon)$ . We can chop the torus into  $[\frac{N}{\eta}]^d$  sub cubes and divide each interval  $[-1, 1]$  into  $\frac{4}{\epsilon}$  subintervals. Then balls around  $[\frac{4}{\epsilon}]^{[\frac{N}{\eta}]^d}$  simple functions will cover  $D_{N, \epsilon}$ . So we have proved

**Lemma 3.6.5.**

$$\log \tau(N, \epsilon) \leq C(\epsilon) N^d$$

Let  $J_\delta = \{W : W = V * \phi_\delta - V\}$  and  $\|V\|_\infty \leq 1$ . We now try to get a uniform estimate on

$$Q_{kN^2, N} [ \left| \int W d\alpha \right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} ] = P_{N, x} [ \frac{1}{kN^2} \sum_{i=1}^{kN^2} W(X_i) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} ]$$

where  $P_{N, x}$  is the probability measure that corresponds to the random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}_N^d$  starting from  $x$  at time 0. We denote by

$$\Theta(k, N, \lambda, \delta) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} \sup_{W \in J_\delta} E^{P_{N, x}} \left[ \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{kN^2} W(X_i) \right] \right]$$

If we can show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log \Theta(k, N, \lambda, \delta) = 0$$

for every  $\lambda$ , then

$$\frac{1}{k} \log Q_{kN^2, N} \left[ \left| \int W d\alpha \right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] \leq -\left[ \lambda \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{1}{k} \log \Theta(k, N, \lambda, \delta) \right]$$

and

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \sup_{W \in J_\delta} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{kN^2, N} \left[ \left| \int W d\alpha \right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] \leq -\lambda \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Since  $\lambda > 0$  is arbitrary it would follow that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \sup_{W \in J_\delta} \frac{1}{k} \log Q_{kN^2, N} \left[ \left| \int W d\alpha \right| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] = -\infty$$

Finally

**Lemma 3.6.6.** *For any  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{k} \log \Theta(k, N, \lambda, \delta) = 0$$

*Proof.* We note that for any Markov Chain for any  $W$

$$\log \sup_x E^{P_x} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n V(X_i) \right]$$

is sub additive and so it is enough to prove

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_k \frac{1}{k} \log \Theta(k, N, \lambda, \delta) = 0$$

□

We can let  $N^2 k \rightarrow t$  and consider the limit

$$\hat{\Theta}(t, \lambda, \delta) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{T}^d} \sup_{W \in J_\delta} E^{P_x} \left[ \exp \left[ \lambda \int_0^t W(\beta(s)) \right] \right]$$

where  $P_x$  is the distribution of Brownian motion with covariance  $\frac{1}{d}I$  on the torus  $\mathcal{T}^d$ . Since the space is compact and the Brownian motion is elliptic, the transition probability density has a uniform upper and lower bound for  $t > 0$  and this enables us to conclude that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{1}{t} \hat{\Theta}(t, \lambda, \delta) = 0$$



provided we show that for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{W \in J_\delta} \sup_{\substack{\|f\|_1=1 \\ f \geq 0}} \left[ \lambda \int W f dx - \frac{1}{8d} \int \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f} dx \right]$$

But

$$\left| \int W f dx \right| = \left| \int (V * \phi_\delta - V) f dx \right| \leq \int V |f_\delta - f| dx \leq \|f_\delta - f\|_1$$

On the other hand in the variational formula we can limit ourselves to  $f$  with  $\int \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \leq 8\lambda g$ . But that set is compact in  $L_1$  and therefore for any  $C < \infty$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f: \int \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \leq C} \|f_\delta - f\|_1 = 0$$

### 3.7 Finishing up.

We have now shown that

$$\frac{1}{n^{\frac{d}{d+2}}} \log E[\exp[-\nu |D_n|]] \leq - \inf_{\substack{f \geq 0 \\ \|f\|_1=1}} \left[ \nu |supp f| + \frac{1}{8d} \int_{\mathcal{T}_\ell^d} \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \right]$$

The torus  $\mathcal{T}_\ell^d$  can be of any size  $\ell$ . We will next show that we can let  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  and obtain

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\substack{f \geq 0 \\ \|f\|_1=1}} \left[ \nu |supp f| + \frac{1}{8d} \int_{\mathcal{T}_\ell^d} \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \right] = \inf_r [\nu v_d r^d + \frac{\lambda_d}{r^2}]$$

Here  $v_d$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda_d$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\frac{1}{2d}\Delta$  in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Dirichlet boundary condition. One side of this, namely

$$\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\|f\|_1=1} \left[ \nu |supp f| + \frac{1}{8d} \int_{\mathcal{T}_\ell^d} \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx \right] \leq \inf_r [\nu v_d r^d + \frac{\lambda_d}{r^2}]$$

is obvious, because if  $\ell > 2r$  the ball can be placed inside the torus with out distortion. For the other side, given a periodic  $f$  on  $\mathcal{T}_\ell^d$  supported on a set of certain volume, it has to be transplanted as a function with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  without increasing either the value of  $\int_{\mathcal{T}_\ell^d} \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{f} dx$  or the volume of the support of  $f$  by more than a negligible amount, more precisely by an amount that can be made arbitrarily small if  $\ell$  is large enough. We do a bit of surgery by opening up the torus. Cut out the set  $\cup_{i=1}^d |x_i| \leq 1$ . This is done by multiplying  $f = g^2$  by  $\Pi(1 - \phi(x_i))$  where  $\phi(\cdot)$  is a smooth function with  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[-1, 1]$  and 0 outside  $[-2, 2]$ . It is not hard to verify that if  $\int_{\cup_i \{x: |x_i| \leq 2\}} [g^2 + \|\nabla g\|^2] dx$  is small then  $[g \Pi_{i=1}^d (1 - \phi(x_i))]^2$  normalized to have integral 1 works. While  $A = \cup_i \{x : |x_i| \leq 2\}$

may not work, we can always find some translate of it will that will work because for any  $f$

$$\ell^{-d} \int_{\mathcal{T}_\ell^d} \left[ \int_{A+x} f(y) dy \right] dx = \ell^{-d} |A| \int f dx$$